



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/580,454	05/30/2000	Nobuhiro Ono	192432USRD	2458
7590 12/08/2003 OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY ARLINGTON, VA 22202			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, CHAU T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	
			DATE MAILED: 12/08/2003	٠, ١

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/580,454	ONO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chau Nguyen	2176				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b' - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT y statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. "HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>30 May 2000</u> .	•				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice of Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	forcian priority under 25 U.S.C. S	110(a) (d) or (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) The translation of the foreign langua 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for defending the control of the control						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper 	(48) 5) Notice of Ir	summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/580,454

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-9 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. The claims 1-9 are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.
- 5. Regarding claim 5, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 09/580,454

Art Unit: 2176

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 7. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saito, U.S. patent No. 5,649,218, and further in view of Aoyama et al. (Aoyama), U.S. Patent No. 6,526,410.
- 8. As to claim 1, Saito discloses a document editing system for editing a document in a computer, comprising:

document area management means for discriminating a plurality of document areas specified within an arbitrary area of the document and managing the document areas along with attributes assigned thereto (col. 6, line 66 – col. 7, line 8, col. 9, lines 36-54 and col. 13, lines 40-56: data in the document is discriminated by tags in order to represent its structure);

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/580,454

Art Unit: 2176

tag management means for managing generation and deletion of a tag pair including a start tag and an end tag, which uniquely correspond to each other and specify a document area (col. 9, lines 36-54: structure restoring section 13 restores omitted tags (deleted tags);

However, Saito does not disclose document editing means for editing a character sequence provided in the document while information about the specified document area within the document is retained or updated. In the similar field of endeavor, Aoyama discloses a document editing program 104 for editing document (col. 6, lines 40-57), and the document editing program 104 edits structured documents such as tags or anchors (character sequence) and some parts of the body of the document in Fig. 5A are retained, and other parts are updated in Fig. 5B (Figs. 5A & 5B). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Saito and Aoyama to include document editing means for editing a character sequence provided in the document while information about the specified document area within the document is retained or updated. Aoyama also provides a method for managing the editing of a structured document for a document processing system capable of managing the editing on the basis of comparison and discrimination of the logical structures of structured documents.

9. As to claim 2, Saito and Aoyama (Saito-Aoyama) disclose wherein said plurality of document areas comprise a plurality of types of document areas (Saito, col. 6, lines 5-20).

Art Unit: 2176

10. As to claim 3, Saito-Aoyama disclose link management means for performing management operation so as to relate one or a plurality of documents to the specified document area and passing information about the link to said document area

linked document output means for outputting the document linked by said link

management means, by reference to information about the document area managed by

said document area management means (Saito, col. 9, lines 25-65).

11. As to claim 4, Saito-Aoyama disclose wherein said plurality of document areas comprise a plurality of document areas specified within the entirety of the document or

arbitrary portions thereof (Saito, Fig. 14); and

wherein said system further comprises:

management means (Saito, col. 9, lines 25-65); and

display format management means for managing an arbitrary display format

assigned to the document area managed by said document management means(Saito,

col. 7, lines 24-34); and

document output means for outputting the document managed by said document

area management means, in an arbitrary expression format provided in said display

format management means (Saito, col. 9, lines 25-65).

12. As to claim 5, Saito-Aoyama disclose processing interface management means

for performing management operation so as to relate an arbitrary process to the

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/580,454

Art Unit: 2176

specified document area such that a trigger for executing the process is set for the specified document, as well as to pass information about the link to said document are management means (Saito, Fig. 2); and

a processing library for storing, such as a library, the arbitrary processes linked to the specified document area by said processing interface management means (Saito, col. 7, lines 2-34).

- 13. Claims 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahashi et al. (Takahashi), and further in view of Ferrel et al. (Ferrel), U.S. Patent No. 6,230,173.
- 14. As to claim 6, Takahashi discloses a method of preparing a tag information management table for editing a document, said method comprising the steps of:

determining whether or not an arbitrary character string within a document is selected (col. 27, lines 9-36);

if it is determined in said determining step that the character string is selected, acquiring information about the kind of tags assigned to a document area and information about the position of a start tag and that of an end tag (col. 13, lines 5-25);

However, Takahashi does not disclose assigning nonoverlapping tag lds to the respective tag information pieces acquired in said acquiring step; and storing into a tag information management table for use in editing a document, a link between the tag lds assigned to the tags in said assigning step and the tag information pieces acquired in

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/580,454

Art Unit: 2176

said acquiring step. In the same field of endeavor, Ferrel discloses a tag ID number is generated and put in a Tag ID Lookup Table when the content is linked to a control by the designer, and each tag is converted to a numerical description during the link, and therefore, the tag ID of the node is a number corresponding to a particular tag (col. 33, line 64 – col. 34, line 15 and Fig. 17). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Takahashi and Ferrel to include assigning nonoverlapping tag Ids to the respective tag information pieces acquired in said acquiring step; and storing into a tag information management table for use in editing a document, a link between the tag Ids assigned to the tags in said assigning step and the tag information pieces acquired in said acquiring step. Ferrel suggests that efficiently transmitting tagged content to a computer in an online publishing system to provide content providers with increased flexibility for presenting their content to customers.

15. Claims 7-9 have similar limitations as claim 6; therefore, they are rejected under the same rational.

Page 8

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chau Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-4639. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild, can be reached at (703) 305-9792.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application is assigned are as follows:

(703) 872-9306 (After Final Communications only)

(703) 872-9306 (Official Communications)

(703) 746-7240 (for Official Status Inquiries, Draft Communications only)

Inquiries of a general nature relating to the general status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the 2100 Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Chau Nguyen Patent Examiner Art Unit 2176

JOSEPH H. FEILD PRIMARY EXAMINER